

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	001/201	
09/509,306	10/17/2000		ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10/1//2000	Ian Reginald Reid	11752-002001	7673	
75	90 08/13/2003				
Y Rocky Tsao					
Fish & Richards	son		EXAMINER		
225 Franklin Street			LIU, SAMUEL W		
Boston, MA 02	2110-2804				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1653	12	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.		Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/509,	,306	REID ET AL.
		Examin	er	Art Unit
		Samuel	W Liu	1050
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, et al. (1) the or the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, et al. (2) the office later than three months after dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	37 CFR 1.136(a). In no elication. Jays, a reply within the story period will apply and	event, however, may a reply be atutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	e timely filed days will be considered timely.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on		
2a) <u></u>	T1 4 4	Mark This action is	s non final	
3)	Since this application is in condition to	r allowance even	m4.fm.f=	
Disposition	closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	under Ex parte C	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.		
	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are w		nsideration.	
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ (Applicatio	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction a n Papers	and/or election rec	quirement.	
	ne specification is objected to by the Ex	aminor		•
10) <u></u> ⊤⊦	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		-h:	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s)	be hold in change of	aminer.
11) 🗌 Th	ne proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ ar	or neid in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Off	ice action	oved by the Examiner.
12)∐ Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.	100 201011.	·
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority und	der 35 II C C C 440/-	N (1)
a)[_	All b) Some * c) None of:	and priority diffe	aci 33 0.3.0. § 119(a	1)-(a) or (t).
	Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have heen	roccived	
2.	Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been	received.	
3.	Uples of the certified copies of the	priority documer	ata hava t	on No
* See	the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certific	ed conies not recoive	
14)[] ACK	nowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority und	der 35 U.S.C. 8 119/a) (to a provisional as III III
15)∏ Ack	The translation of the foreign languagen The translation of the foreign for dor The translation of the foreign language.	A Drovicional and	12 11 - 1	
		-		
☐ Notice of ☐ Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 4 5(s) 6	INOUICE OF INFORMAL P.	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Tradem 0-326 (Rev. 04	0.43	e Action Summary		

Application/Control Number: 09/509,306

Art Unit: 1653

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-18, 34-37 and 41, drawn to a method of stimulating cartilage growth via stimulating chondrocytes proliferation in a patient comprising administering amylin peptide to the patient, are classified in class 514, subclass 2, class 530, subclass 300, and class 424, subclass 93.7.

- II. Claims 19-22, 34, 38-40 and 42, drawn to a method of stimulating cartilage growth via stimulating chondrocytes proliferation in a patient comprising administering adrenomedullin peptide to the patient, are classified in class 514, subclass 2, class class 530, subclass 300, and class 424, subclass 93.7.
- III. Claims 23-30, 43, 45-48 and 50, drawn to a method of stimulating cartilage growth in a patient comprising activating a receptor via administering to the patient amylin agonist, are classified in class 514, subclass 2, class 424, subclass 93.7, and class 435, subclass 7.1
- IV. Claims 23-27, 31-33, 43-47, 49 and 51drawn to a method of stimulating cartilage growth in a patient comprising activating a receptor via administering to the patient adrenomedullin agonist, are classified in class 514, subclass 2, class 424, subclass 93.7, and class 435, subclass 7.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other for the following reasons:

Inventions I - IV are directed to different and/or distinct methods. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Invention" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper between the methods of Inventions I, II, III and IV since they constitute patentably distinct inventions comprising methodologies, starting material, objectives, technical considerations, ingredients, endpoint or/and treatment outcome.

Inventions I and II are directed to the different polypeptides; amylin of Invention I differs from adrenomedullin of Invention II in their structures (amylin consists of 37 amino acid

residues while adrenomedullin 52 residues; moreover, there is only less than 20% sequence identity between these two polypeptides).

Inventions I and II are distinct from Inventions III and IV in that ingredients which the inventions employ, amylin and adrenomedullin, are structurally distinct molecules, and the modes of action and the method step(s) of the Inventions are different. Inventions I and II are directed to the method comprising the step of increasing active concentration of the polypeptide (amylin or adrenomedullin) by administering amylin or adrenomedullin polypeptide whereas Inventions III and IV are directed to the method comprising the step of activating a receptor. Note that the action of a receptors are distinct from the mechanisms of the polypeptides *per se*, because the receptor involves agonists/antagonist which may be or may not be the amylin or adrenomedullin and that there are at least two independent amylin receptors (see Christopoulos, G. et al. (1999) *Mol. Pharmacol.* 56, 235-242, page 236, the left column, the second paragraph), and because receptor as much broader action spectrum than a polypeptide that acts as agonist or antagonist to the receptor.

Further, Invention III differs from Invention IV in that receptor(s) for amylin (Invention III) structurally and functionally differs from the receptor(s) for adrenomedullin (Invention IV) as amylin and adrenomedullin bind to different receptors (see Table 1 and Figure 1 of Fischer J. A. et al. reference: *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* (2002) 30, 455-459), even amylin can bind to the distinct receptors (see the above statement regarding Christopoulos, G. et al. reference).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and since they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or divergent subject matter, and/or are separately and independently searched, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Wei Liu, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-306-3483. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday 9:00 -5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Christopher Low can be reached on (703) 308-2923. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communication and (703) 305-3014 for the after final communication. Any inquiry of a general

Application/Control Number: 09/509,306

Art Unit: 1653

Page 4

nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Samuel W. Liu, Ph.D.

August 7, 2003

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Karen Carlson River